



Image by [Jerzy](#) from [Pixabay](#)

Biological weapon antibiotics

13 September 2025 by [Univ.-Doz.\(Vienna\) Dr. med. Gerd Reuther](#) 3.9 minutes reading time

The mod-mRNA cocktails disguised as vaccines were not the first biological weapons. Any invasive introduction of foreign material, as has been the case with all previous vaccines, is perceived by our bodies as an attack. However, this also applies to a class of substances that is still considered a pharmacological achievement: antibiotics.

The term "antibiotics" itself reveals their aggressive nature. Substances that threaten the very existence of biological structures are dangerous to all living beings, from single-celled organisms to humans. This is all the more so since we couldn't survive without microorganisms. Our bodies contain more foreign cells than our own.

Anyone who believes that medically prescribed antibiotics act selectively on pathogens is mistaken. There is no single substance among antibiotics that specifically harms a specific microbe. The various mechanisms of action target entire groups of microbes or even cross-group structures and metabolic pathways. It should therefore be clear that every dose of

antibiotics results in massive destruction of our microbiota and thus impairs our own body cells.

It's helpful to know that glyphosate was once patented as an antibiotic after previously being approved as a drain cleaner and herbicide. When its toxicity was subsequently found to be too high, however, it can be deduced that the approved substances differ only gradually on a continuous scale of harmful effects. Although glyphosate has not found its way into medicine, it is now detectable in the urine of almost everyone.

Antibiotics should therefore be used only extremely rarely, preferably specifically based on an antibiogram, and only for a very short time. Otherwise, the benefit/harm ratio must be negative, because too much damage is simply caused. Medical practice ignores these basic facts and, in recent decades, has introduced antibiotics into therapy as supposed game-changers for infectious diseases.

If, nevertheless, antibiotic substances have been prescribed and taken with increasing frequency since the 1950s, one should ask whether, in addition to a lack of knowledge of the body's physiology, a deliberate agenda of harm is being pursued. After all, the recommendations and guidelines for indication and duration of prescription are not determined individually by a physician, but rather originate from the pharmaceutical industry and biased expert committees that are direct service providers to the corporations. For the medical-industrial complex, however, it's not about cures, but rather about chronic diseases as the basis of its business.

It sounds grim, but anyone who takes a closer look at the healing and damaging potential of pharmaceuticals will come to no better conclusion. The first pharmaceuticals of "scientific medicine" were narcotics with no healing effect but massively harmful effects: morphine, chloral hydrate, and heroin. Then came harmful but toxic substances like Salvarsan® (a mixture of organic arsenic compounds) for syphilis or tuberculin for tuberculosis. The first chemotherapeutic agents were derived from the infamous mustard gas, which caused devastating poisonings during the First World War. And then came antibiotics derived from coal tar chemistry. Penicillins from mold would have been available long ago.

Conclusion

For people with a functioning immune system, antibiotics are only rarely beneficial. At least 90% of the antibiotics commonly prescribed today are unnecessary and only harm our microbiota. However, we are only as healthy as our microbial garden. It is our microbes that break down our food into suitable fragments and thus also control our immune system.

Ultimately, the prospects for regenerating the damaged composition of our intestinal bacteria are bleak. Raw milk, which would provide us with abundant lactobacilli, is almost extinct. The white liquids we drink today as cow's milk are highly processed, pasteurized products that contain only corpses of lactobacilli. The genetically engineered bacterial strains available at the pharmacy not only lack the necessary biodiversity but are likely to be completely worthless.

Anyone who has taken antibiotics for numerous infections in their lifetime must have a strong nature, unless they are chronically ill. And chronically ill people simply have a shorter time

on earth. This is entirely in keeping with the New Normal, which fears few things more than "useless eaters" in sickness or retirement.