

# JFK, MLK, RFK, CK – What is behind the assassination of Charlie Kirk?

15. November 2025 by Mathias Bröckers



Gage Skidmore, CC BY-SA 4.0, via Wikimedia Commons

Will the Charlie Kirk case end up on "Aktenzeichen 47" (Case File 5) as one of the unsolved political murders in the USA?

At the beginning of October, I noted (<u>MAGA vs. MIGA – from JFK to Charlie Kirk</u>) that the investigation into the assassination of conservative activist and founder of "Turning Point USA," Charlie Kirk, follows the classic cover-up patterns of political murders in the USA: a

crazy/confused/radical lone perpetrator, an immediate official narrative, and the suppression or defamation of any criticism of the evidentiary value.

When investigative journalists and authors began to speak out about the obvious gaps and inconsistencies in the official investigation following the assassination of John F. Kennedy, the CIA recommended in a circular to its offices that this criticism be countered with a publicity campaign and that a previously relatively innocuous term – "conspiracy theory" – be used as a weapon in psychological warfare. This method has since become standard practice in public discourse when it comes to eliminating doubts about official narratives and portraying critics as unreliable, deceitful, or malicious. This has been observed from the assassinations of JFK, MLK, and RFK, through 9/11, to COVID-19. And now, after 9/10, with the murder of Charlie Kirk and the accused lone gunman, Tyler Robinson.

Unlike the JFK shooting in Dallas in 1963, at Utah Valley University (UVU) there were not only textile entrepreneur Zapruder with his Super 8 camera, but also over 3,000 witnesses equipped with smartphones. In addition, numerous surveillance cameras continuously monitored the UVU buildings, of which the FBI has so far only released footage of a figure running away from a rooftop after the shooting, believed to be 22-year-old Tyler Robinson.

How the perpetrator, armed with the alleged murder weapon—a Mauser rifle from the First World War belonging to his grandfather, which was later found in a wooded area opposite the university—got onto the roof, took up position, and fired is, curiously, not shown in the images presented, nor is a rifle visible as the figure flees. Instead, reference is made to a screwdriver found at the scene bearing Robinson's fingerprints, which he supposedly used to dismantle the firearm on the roof. The carbine, wrapped in a towel, was then found in a small wooded area opposite the university—reassembled, without the screwdriver (?)—and loaded with .30-06 caliber ammunition.

This type of ammunition is used for big-game hunting and has massive penetrating power at 150 meters, yet it left only a small entry wound on Charlie Kirk because the spine of this "man of steel" allegedly stopped the bullet. The official autopsy report on this miracle is still pending. However, since last week, a court-issued gag order has prohibited all witnesses to the crime and everyone involved in the proceedings from commenting on or publishing anything about it – in order to prevent influencing the jury beforehand.

Since Tyler Robinson did not retain a criminal defense attorney, he was assigned public defenders—by the Attorney General (!), who did not forward other pro bono offers from qualified lawyers. What can be expected from a trial where the prosecutors choose their own defense attorneys and 3,000 witnesses are silenced, even if they may have seen, heard, or filmed crucial information? A proper investigation and trial, hardly—and as the FBI's lack of investigation demonstrates, such a trial is apparently not desired.

Just as the Johnson administration in 1963 and beyond was able to successfully launch the Vietnam War with the "lone gunman" legend Lee Harvey Oswald (motive: "communist"), the Trump administration's "lone gunman" Tyler Robinson (motive: "woke Antifa activist") fits perfectly into its political agenda of cracking down on everything "left-wing." This explains why the White House declared October 14th a national "Charlie Kirk Memorial Day" but has no interest in further investigations, and why the (then as now) compliant mainstream media ignore the blatant contradictions and questions of the official narrative: "Move along, nothing

to see here!" or only mention the "usual suspects," namely: enemies of the state, conspiracy theorists, anti-Semites, and extremists.

### Strange behavior

But in 2025, there weren't just far more cameras at the crime scene than in 1963; there were also far more ways to make images and documents public: the "suspects" had a wide reach. And the fact that the mainstream media have been vehemently suppressing the oddities and background of this political assassination and the investigation for weeks doesn't prevent them from coming to light and causing not just a stir, but a major earthquake, like the current "America First!" and/or "Israel First!" debate in conservative circles.

This is the same problem that had brought Charlie Kirk into conflict with his donors and advisors in recent months, because he had discussed this issue at his events with critics of the Gaza war, such as <a href="mailto:the-Jewish comedian Dave Smith">the Jewish comedian Dave Smith</a> and the popular conservative television host Tucker Carlson. And he intended to continue doing so, even though major donors withdrew millions of dollars as a result, and the Israeli president allegedly promised him even more money if he maintained his Christian Zionist stance. Which Charlie refused. He even went so far as <a href="mailto:to-question">to-question</a> the events of October 7th and the continued US support for the Gaza war .

Whether he received an offer "that one cannot refuse", we do not know; if so, Bibi Netanyahu, who immediately and unsolicitedly announced the day after the assassination that Israel had nothing to do with the murder, would have to be portrayed in a mafia thriller as the godfather of the "Kosher Nostra", preemptively washing his hands of innocence.

The numerous clues and indications of the incompleteness of the FBI narrative of lone gunman Tyler Robinson, the <u>strange behavior</u> of the security team and <u>closest associates seen in published videos immediately after the attack</u>, the videos of possible additional shooters, the absence of local police, the transport of the victim not in a Red Cross vehicle to the nearest hospital, but in a private SUV to a more distant hospital, and many other oddities that <u>Candace Owens</u>, former TP-USA spokeswoman and close friend of Charlie Kirk, has been compiling on her podcast for weeks – all of this makes it clear that we are not dealing with the act of a lone madman, but with a covert operation, a conspiracy to carry out a political assassination.

That the investigation into the murder of an influential political figure involves not only the police but also intelligence services to check possible connections between the alleged perpetrator and suspicious organizations at home and abroad seems self-evident. Not so in the case of Charlie Kirk, where FBI Director Kash Patel – in our thriller, the <u>police chief with the unhinged look</u> – accuses Joe Kent, director of the National Counterterrorism Center, of exceeding his authority because he has studied the FBI's investigation files.

This is more than a typical turf war between agency heads, and in this case riddled with inconsistencies, it is so strange that even the "New York Times" (<a href="archived">archived</a>) could not avoid reporting on it:

Mr. Patel was troubled that Mr. Kent had gone through F.B.I. material related to the case, according to the people knowledgeable on the matter. Mr. Kent's efforts were a topic at a White House meeting that included Mr. Patel, Mr. Kent and his direct superior, Ms. Gabbard.

Top Justice Department officials, Vice President JD Vance and the White House chief of staff, Susie Wiles, were also there, according to several of the people who spoke to The New York Times about the matter.

Following this high-level meeting at the White House, a lukewarm joint statement was issued, declaring that they would continue to "look under every stone." Director of Intelligence Tulsi Gabbard, FBI Director, and Vice President Vance were all in complete agreement on this point. "Please move along, nothing to see here!" Certainly no connections, influence, or complicity by foreign or domestic powers. No Islamists, no Russians, and of course, no Israelis—not a trace. The defendant's second court hearing, originally scheduled for October 30, has now been postponed until mid-January. Until then: a gag order for all involved and all witnesses!

But the "usual suspects" (see above) are relentless, continuing to blog, stream, and podcast tirelessly, sowing tension from the MAGA grassroots all the way up to the White House. This tension centers on Charlie Kirk's legacy and the Republicans' post-Trump foreign policy, the incompatibility of MAGA with MIGA, and the demand to abandon the "Israel First!" policy, which had become increasingly vocal among TP-USA supporters in Kirk's debates since the Gaza War. This policy also increasingly convinced Kirk personally—for both Christian moral and national political reasons—and made him more critical of the Trump administration's course. It also put pressure on his sponsors, who were trying to keep him politically pro-Israel and theologically bound to the dogmas of evangelical Zionism.

#### Geopolitics with the Old Testament: Christian Zionism

This ideology and movement, which emerged at the beginning of the 20th century and views the return of the Jews to the land of Israel as the fulfillment of biblical prophecy and a harbinger of Christ's second coming, would hardly have risen above the status of an insignificant Protestant sect had it not been discovered and promoted by the British Empire to win Christians over to its current colonial policy—the settlement of Jews in the British colony of Palestine. In the same year that the <a href="Balfour Declaration">Balfour Declaration</a> announced this plan, 1917, the venerable Oxford University Press published the "Scofield Reference Bible," bringing the publisher its first million-selling book. With its literal interpretation of Old Testament endtimes prophecies, the annotated biblical commentary by the American lawyer and "bornagain" preacher Cyrus Scofield remains the sect's foundational work to this day. Their belief that the Messiah will only return after the great Armageddon and the destruction of the Temple in Jerusalem is, then as now, instrumentalized not for religious reasons, but to justify and propagate geopolitical goals.

Through donations from Republican, evangelical, and Zionist donors, TP-USA had become a multi-million dollar organization, and its founder, Charlie Kirk, the most important influencer of the American right. As long as he spread Christian-Zionist dogma as a charismatic "evangelist"—and ideologically kept Christians under 30 in line for the empire's colonial policies—Charlie Kirk was the *golden boy* of US conservatives and Israel.

Until he asked the question as to why it <u>took 20 hours to stop the Hamas invaders at the</u> heavily fortified border in Gaza, which he had personally visited, on October 7th – and

insisted that this question must be asked and a debate about US funding of the war must be held .

To this end, at a major TP-USA event in July, he had brought the country's most popular conservative journalist, Tucker Carlson, onto the stage. Before his appearance, Carlson reported, he had offered his friend, due to the conflict with the major donors, to omit any criticism of Israel from his speech, but Charlie had shaken his head and urged him to speak freely, saying, "No, Tucker, go!" In a private chat with mentors, pastors, and the TP-USA team, he had previously stated that he had no choice but to abandon the "Israel First!" line, even if it meant being defamed with the "usual stereotypes"—and that he would invite Carlson and other conservatives critical of Israel to future major events as well. The leading figure of conservative Gen Z was thus attempting to re-engage the young MAGA Republicans—without whose votes Trump would never have become president—with the national "America First!" promise, which Trump had broken with his globalist, interventionist neoconservative policies.

In our mafia thriller, not only does the Zionist clan leader Bibi have a reason to eliminate a dangerous dissident and "traitor," but Donald, as the godfather of the Republicans, also has a motive to stop the popular leader of the party's youth wing (and potential successor!?) before he completely changes the future direction of the entire party and convinces the right-wing young voters of "America First 2.0": MAGA without MIGA.

Beyond a motive, both godfathers, as is typical for Mafia bosses, also possess the means and capabilities to carry out public executions as covert operations. In Kirk's case, the detectives must therefore search for traces of two powerful clans and their specialists for such "hit jobs," as well as consider the possibility that the preparation and follow-up work was divided among them. This means, for example, looking for a <a href="James Jesus Angleton">James Jesus Angleton</a>-like double agent within the CIA who not only pursued Israel's nuclear armament against the explicit wishes of President Kennedy—see Ken McCarthy: "JFK's and RFK's Secret War Against Zionist <a href="Extremism">Extremism</a>"—but also controlled the later presidential assassination scapegoat, Lee Harvey Oswald.

Because it will undoubtedly be very difficult to catch a perpetrator like "The Ghost"—the title of Jefferson Morley's Angelton biography—it seems more likely that the list of unsolved political assassinations—JFK, RFK, MLK—will have to be supplemented with CK. And the "magic bullet," whose penetrating power killed President Kennedy and inflicted five wounds on the governor sitting before him, will have to be supplemented by another miraculous projectile, a .30-06 caliber bullet, which shatters the skulls of moose or bears, but only caused an inconspicuous hole in Charlie Kirk's neck.

## Civil war on the right

While only verbal attacks have been used, <u>a serious internal civil war</u> has broken out across the entire conservative camp over the "MAGA and/or MIGA" question, with Tucker Carlson, universally admired by Trump voters, now <u>labeled "the most dangerous anti-Semite in the country."</u> being <u>This is because he invited Nick Fuentes</u>, the young hardcore nationalist, <u>to a talk show</u>. Fuentes, with his podcast and millions of fans, had for years railed against Charlie Kirk for being too pro-immigrant, too gay-friendly, and too pro-Israel.

The "hysteria," according to <u>Glenn Greenwald</u>, that erupted on the right with demands for the exclusion, marginalization, and censorship of Tucker Carlson, has its roots less in the conversation itself, which was hardly scandalous in terms of content, but rather <u>in the anti-interventionist</u>, <u>Israel-critical stance that Tucker Carlson explicitly espouses in his appearances and broadcasts</u>. This is similar to the demand for the disclosure of the clients and contacts of the agent/pimp/money launderer Jeffrey Epstein and his Mossad/MI6/CIA connections—Whitney Webb's excellent research on the case, "<u>A Nation Under Blackmail</u>," was recently published in German—which Trump and his current FBI director demanded from the Biden administration, only to then sweep it under the rug together.

According to research by Max Blumenthal on The Grayzone, Tucker Carlson's mention of these connections with Charlie Kirk at the TP-USA event led to the scandal with his major Zionist donors. This rift now runs through the entire Republican Party, including the powerful Heritage Foundation. America First vs. Israel First is the front line in this fratricidal war among US conservatives, a struggle over the party's direction in the post-Trump era. It's also about clinging to the strategy of the British Empire, which, even in the 20th century, sought to mobilize Christians for imperial, colonial projects, brandishing the Christian-Zionist Scofield Bible.

Because Charlie Kirk was in the process of abandoning the dogmas of this ideology, a religious conflict was brewing beneath the political one. In that sense, our Italian crime thriller, even though it's set in the Mormon state of Utah, is not lacking in questionable "clergymen" who take action "in the name of the Lord." Or turn a blind eye. Thus, a brief remark by Candace Owens that Charlie had been attending Catholic Mass for some time and was considering converting to Catholicism led to an outcry from his pastors at TP-USA about this "nonsense!" and lengthy assurances that Charlie Kirk had remained theologically faithful to his Christian-Zionist convictions until the very end. He had only been inclined toward Catholicism because of the "beautiful architecture" of the churches.

## The "Candace Intelligence Agency" is investigating

Such "little lies," according to Candace Owens, the fiery pit bull of alternative investigations who never lets up, only piqued her curiosity and led her to suspect that Charlie might have been betrayed by his own people and that a takeover of the TP-USA organization was at stake. This would also explain why the audit of the \$100 million company's financial flows, ordered by Charlie Kirk a few weeks before his murder, has still not taken place.

According to the golden "Follow The Money" rule of criminal investigation, the detectives in this case would therefore have to pursue a third lead in addition to the Mossad and/or CIA motives, but nobody except Candace is doing so.

After being fired from the right-wing outlet "Daily Wire" for her criticism of Israel, the longtime Charlie Kirk employee launched her own show "Candace" online two years ago, which has climbed to number one on the political podcast charts in recent months. In doing so, she has surpassed not only her former boss at "Daily Wire," Ben Shapiro, but also all other conservative commentators. This isn't because she simply generates clicks with wild "conspiracy theories," but because she exposes the blind spots of the official narrative, asks the right questions, and is authentic. And she sticks to her convictions, even though the usual attacks against her now come not from the left, but from her own right. Here, the current number one "truther" is in conversation with the Jewish anti-Zionist comedian Dave Smith —

about the current state of affairs and the investigations of her "CIA" (Candace Intelligence Agency).

Should the accused Tyler Robinson suffer the same fate as Lee Harvey Oswald in 1963 and die before a trial begins, the "Case File K" of unsolved political murders in the USA would have to be expanded to include the case of Charlie Kirk. Since a living Oswald would have had a good chance of acquittal at the time, given the questionable evidence and the "magic" bullet, the young Robinson, too, can certainly hope to escape the death penalty, given the FBI's nebulous chain of evidence.

The murder weapon, discovered on the sixth floor of the schoolbook building ten minutes after the shooting of John F. Kennedy, was identified on-site by three Dallas police detectives and the head of the homicide division as a "Mauser 7.65" based on the inscription. Two days later, it was identified as a "Mannlicher-Carcano 6.5," the Italian infantry rifle that Oswald had purchased under a false name for 19.95 dollars through mail order, a rifle rumored in Italy to have been responsible for the war's loss due to its inaccuracy. (cf. MB – JFK's Coup in America, pp. 123 ff.).

If the bullet from Robinson's grandfather's Mauser carbine was indeed stopped by Charlie Kirk's steely nature and presented to the court as Exhibit No. 1, the defendant will be very close to a conviction. Power-hungry godfathers and their hit squads would be off the hook. The mafia thriller would then have to become a psychological drama about how "wokeness" can motivate and radicalize a shy young man to such an extent that he becomes a cold-blooded killer. But little to nothing is known about such an evolution so far; Tyler Robinson's personal history is shrouded in secrecy, and the court-ordered gag order ensures that it remains so. "Please move along, nothing to see here!"

This article has already appeared on Mathias Bröckers' website.



**Mathias Bröckers** is an author and freelance journalist. His works "The Rediscovery of the Useful Plant Hemp" (1993), "Conspiracies, Conspiracy Theories, and the Secrets of 9/11" (2002), and "We Are the Good Guys – Views of a Putin Apologist" (2014) became international bestsellers. He lives in Berlin and Zurich and blogs at broeckers.com