

Gerd Reuther on vaccinations: Big business without scientific-medical evidence?

- 03. April 2025



The successful author and medical historian Dr. Gerd Reuther in conversation with Florian Machl
(C) Report24.news

At the Salzburg Health Days, Dr. Gerd Reuther, medical specialist and medical historian, had a remarkable conversation with Report24 editor-in-chief Florian Machl. The focus was on issues surrounding vaccinations, in particular the measles vaccination, the role of the pharmaceutical industry and trust in modern medicine. The experienced physician explained quite openly that he sees no reliable scientific evidence for the actual effectiveness of vaccinations.

One focus was initially on the debate surrounding measles, which is currently the subject of a great deal of "hype" in several countries. Dr. Reuther put forward the thesis that measles as a childhood disease has historically been largely harmless and that a natural infection creates lifelong immunity. He doubted that deaths could be attributed to measles and expressed fundamental criticism of diagnostics - in particular the use of PCR tests to identify cases.

This is in complete contrast to the opinion held by the mainstream media, medical associations and the WHO. These claim that the possibility of serious complications such as pneumonia or so-called subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (SSPE) cause a fatal late effect that can occur years after infection. Watch the 34-minute interview here, which provides new perspectives and opens your eyes to some seemingly "certain" opinions.

Contradictory views on the measles vaccination

A central point of contention was the benefit of the measles vaccination. Dr. Reuther was of the opinion that there was no clear evidence from studies to date that proved clear protection against infection. He also questioned whether an isolated measles virus had even been proven beyond doubt.

This contradicts the data from the Robert Koch Institute, which claims that consistent vaccination campaigns have drastically reduced the number of measles cases in many countries. If you investigate these claims, in many cases you will find a progression curve that indicates a decline in cases even before the vaccination campaigns began.

Microscopic images of the suspected pathogen can be found on platforms such as the controversial, biased Wikipedia. According to Reuther, however, the evidence is anything but clear and scientific research would have to provide far more precise proof. In this interview, he also refers to a court ruling in this regard.

Is the effectiveness of vaccinations generally questionable?

Dr. Reuther was not only critical of the measles vaccination, but also denied that vaccinations in general have any scientifically proven effectiveness. According to him, none of the common vaccinations - including rabies, polio or influenza - had a reliable, long-term study that clearly demonstrated the benefits in relation to possible risks. Here, too, he is in complete opposition to organizations such as the WHO, which receive significant funding from direct and indirect economic beneficiaries of vaccines.

Pharmaceutical interests and media dramatization

Another topic of discussion was the role of the pharmaceutical industry and the media. Florian Machl and Dr Reuther expressed the fear that health risks were being dramatized in order to stir up public fear and market new medical products. They cited a measles outbreak in Texas as an example, which in their view had been staged in a media-effective manner without a reliable data basis. They see a connection with a political agenda to attack Robert Kennedy, the new US Secretary of Health and Human Services, and to weaken his anti-vaccination positions.

Self-healing instead of medication?

A central concern of the discussion was the return to the body's self-healing powers. Dr. Reuther argued that many illnesses heal without medical intervention and that medication is only necessary in exceptional cases. He referred to studies according to which only a fraction of medical treatments actually bring demonstrable benefits, while a significant proportion are even harmful.

This view underlines the omnipresent trend towards so-called integrative or complementary medicine. The pharmaceutical industry, as the mouthpiece of conventional medicine, warns against treating serious illnesses without medical care, especially if symptoms persist or worsen. It is up to the patient to educate themselves fully and make an informed decision.

Conclusion: A conversation with explosive power

The discussion between Dr. Gerd Reuther and Florian Machl touched on numerous sensitive points in the current health debate - from vaccination policy and the role of the pharmaceutical industry to medical responsibility. While Reuther's positions differ significantly from the scientific majority opinion, they raise questions that have become more relevant in the wake of the coronavirus pandemic and increasing skepticism towards institutions.