



Geoengineering: Dangerous experiments in the sky

May 22, 2026 by [Dr. Peter F. Mayer](#) 6.1 minute read

Since the founding of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) by the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, led by David Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger, in 1989, fears have been raised about rising temperatures caused by man-made CO₂. The proposed solutions include net-zero CO₂ emissions, CO₂ sequestration, and geoengineering to reduce solar radiation.

the Rockefellers' activities Journalist Elizabeth Nickson [in an article](#) about the 990 "climate change" institutions, foundations, and activist groups addressed in Jacob Nordangård's book, "[Rockefeller: Controlling the Game](#)" founded and funded by the Rockefellers. The article also draws on information presented. " More [on this can be found in this TKP article](#). While the IPCC and the EU continue to speak almost exclusively of the "man-made CO₂ emergency" and the need for radical decarbonization, evidence is mounting of parallel, far riskier interventions in the Earth system: geoengineering.

The targeted manipulation of the atmosphere, clouds, and radiation balance is often presented by official sources as a "last resort." But nature is not a linear mechanism—it is a highly complex, interconnected system in which every action triggers unpredictable, cascading reactions.

Warnings about the uncontrollable consequences

Recent reports and [information from Telegram sources](#) indicate that these activities go far beyond theoretical concepts. For years, we have observed phenomena in the sky that can hardly be explained by natural cloud formation. If aircraft are systematically releasing substances to influence solar radiation, this is happening without any public discourse, without democratic legitimacy, and without transparency.

According to the report, Israel is considering spraying particles into the sky to cool the planet—inadvertently admitting to possessing weather-modification capabilities. Stardust Solutions, founded by former members of Israel's nuclear energy program, has unveiled its [climate-cooling plan](#): releasing reflective particles into the stratosphere to block sunlight and lower global temperatures. The company has raised \$75 million and filed for a patent.

The scientific literature, which is mostly kept from us in mainstream channels, is frighteningly clear. The UN itself, as can be read in a [report by the Scientific Advisory Board](#), admits that techniques such as stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI) could have catastrophic consequences.

The biggest risk? The so-called "termination shock." If you start artificially reducing solar radiation, you have to do so continuously. Should this process be abruptly stopped—for example, by economic crises, wars, or sabotage—the accumulated warming would crash down upon us with brutal force within a very short time. The result would be a mass extinction of species and climatic conditions that no ecosystem could survive.

The scientific warnings about the side effects are clear and serious. The [US Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\)](#) lists the following risks, among others:

- Damage to the stratospheric ozone layer
- Altered precipitation patterns with the risk of regional droughts or floods
- Increased acidification of soils and waters due to rain-borne particles
- Respiratory problems caused by fine particles that eventually reach the lower layers of the atmosphere

As early as 2008, the renowned climate scientist Alan Robock listed a number of serious problems in his widely discussed paper "20 reasons why geoengineering may be a bad idea"—including the so-called "termination shock": A sudden halt to the measures would lead to an extremely rapid temperature increase, which could be far worse than the initial warming. Other issues include uneven regional climate impacts, impairment of agriculture due to altered light conditions, and additional strain from acid rain.

The [complete catalogue can be found here](#).

Unforeseeable consequences for the climate

Geoengineering is a tool of control that, in the wrong hands—and in the hands of actors like foundations that have already interfered in agriculture and health policy, it always is—can become a weapon. Altering local weather patterns can provoke droughts or destroy harvests, which in turn creates dependencies that can be politically exploited.

Interfering with the stratosphere poses dangers that we cannot yet foresee. What happens if aerosols in the upper atmosphere further damage the ozone layer? What if the altered light intensity disrupts photosynthesis and thus weakens the very foundation of our lives – plants? The history of science is full of "solutions" that, fifty years later, turned out to be the greatest threat.

Recent studies also confirm these concerns. Research warns of changes in global circulation, disruptions to monsoon systems, and significant risks to ecosystems and biodiversity. A [2016 study by J. Marvin Herndon](#) further addresses the health consequences of aerosolized particles such as coal fly ash, which can contain, among other things, mobilizable aluminum and heavy metals like barium and strontium – substances consistent with whistleblower claims.

The idea that one can cool one part of the world without paying the price elsewhere is a dangerous illusion. New studies, such as those published in the [journal Environmental Science: Atmospheres](#), warn of massive disruptions to the global water cycle, the destruction of the ozone layer, and the formation of acid rain.

Even local measures like "Land Radiative Management" (such as whitewashing roofs in cities) can, as a [recent study in the AGU publications](#) shows, lead to the drying out of surrounding regions. The result is warming in neighboring areas, thereby deliberately exacerbating heat inequalities. Those who "cool" here are stealing rain from their neighbors and sending them heat.

The suppression of information about the dangers

Why is this issue being so massively suppressed? Why are voices warning of the dangers of these interventions dismissed as "conspiracy theorists"? The answer lies in the question of power. Geoengineering is not just a technical option; it is an instrument for geopolitical dominance. When states or private actors manipulate the climate, they create facts on the ground that can subjugate entire regions economically and ecologically.

While some US states, such as Florida and Tennessee, are already passing laws prohibiting such manipulative interventions, as the [US Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\) reluctantly admits in its questionnaires](#), research continues at full speed worldwide. The controversy has long since spread beyond laboratories: [UNEP experts](#) describe it as an "exceedingly controversial topic," yet governance—that is, control over it—is practically nonexistent.

The central question remains: Who decides on such far-reaching interventions in the global climate system? And why are these experiments – whether openly or covertly – being pursued largely without broad public debate and democratic control?

Citizens have a right to know exactly what is happening above their heads – and what incalculable risks these interventions pose to the environment, health, and global stability.

Geoengineering is not a harmless backup plan for failed climate policy. It is a highly dangerous game with a fragile planetary system.