

The pharmaceutical company Pfizer is being pushed further into a corner.



Toine de Graaf November 22, 2025.



Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla. Photography: Brendan Smialowski | AFP

"If we can prove this fraud in court, the mRNA thing will fall apart. Pfizer will cease to exist."

Several researchers have launched a hunt for Pfizer. The pharmaceutical company is accused of DNA contamination in its mRNA COVID vaccines. Although the pharmaceutical company is exempt from product liability, this protection lapses if fraud can be proven. American evolutionary biologist Bret Weinstein told podcaster Joe Rogan this month that Pfizer committed "pure fraud" by testing a different product than the one it marketed. Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla is also struggling with the civil lawsuit filed against him by Peter Stassen and Arno van Kessel in Leeuwarden.

Last September, Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla filed a new defense ("rejoinder") with the District Court of Noord-Nederland, Leeuwarden location. In it, he again disputes that he misled the seven plaintiffs in the "Stassen/Van Kessel case" with vaccine injuries, who are holding him personally liable for personal injury and financial losses suffered—one of whom has since died—about the safety and effectiveness of the coronavirus vaccines. Bourla maintains that the Pfizer vaccine is "safe and effective." He dismisses everything the plaintiffs have argued to the contrary. Furthermore, Bourla rejects the expert witnesses proposed by Stassen and also considers the proposed preliminary hearing to discuss the further course of the proceedings unnecessary. He believes the court "has been sufficiently informed and can dismiss the claims without the need to order an oral hearing." A verdict on the progress of the Stassen case is expected on December 24. Earlier that month — on December 4 — Arno van Kessel will hear whether his pre-trial detention will be extended again.

It's clear from the once again page-long defense: Bourla wants to be released from the protracted case in Friesland. It's quite conceivable that developments in the US are playing a role in this, particularly the arrival of Health Secretary Robert Kennedy. Furthermore, in August it was announced that a special working group of the American CDC, comparable to the RIVM (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment), will critically examine, among other things, the DNA contamination of the mRNA vaccines. This will be led by, of all people, Professor Retsef Levi, Professor of Operations Management at the MIT Sloan School of Management in Cambridge, Massachusetts.

In May 2023, Levi, along with sociologist/criminologist Josh Guetzkow of the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, called for greater transparency in the medical-scientific journal The BMJ (formerly The British Medical Journal) regarding the two production processes Pfizer used: one for the vaccines in the clinical registration study ("process 1") and one for mass production ("process 2"). This less clean process 2 involved the use of a genetically modified bacterium: *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). This led to DNA contamination of the vaccines, which would have resulted in more side effects than in the clinical registration study and a possible increased risk of cancer. A contributing factor was the use of a less advanced, and therefore cheaper, purification technique than in process 1. According to chemist Geoff Pain, the production processes were simply not the same, and this "most likely contributed" to variations in serious side effects reported for the different vaccine batches. Pain predicted "countless lawsuits all over the world" on his Substack as early as early 2023.

These have largely failed to materialize so far, with the exception of the Leeuwarden case, but if the signs are not deceiving, Pfizer can prepare for the situation. Guetzkow stated in the fall of 2023 in an interview with British nursing scientist John Campbell, which he published on his popular YouTube channel: "If you change the method, you change the product, and you can't simply assume it will have the same effect on people. So you have to do a new clinical trial with your new product." But that didn't happen, and the regulatory authorities let Pfizer get away with it. In fact, no one who visited the vaccination centers knew that a different product was being injected than the one found to be "safe and effective" in the clinical trial. As a result, the legally required informed consent was not obtained during the mass vaccination campaigns. Campbell, who himself received two Pfizer shots, reacted with dismay to Guetzkow's explanation.

Meanwhile, several researchers are raising the possibility of legal action against Pfizer, possibly bolstered by the knowledge that Levi has landed a key position and the realization that Kennedy is making slow progress in dismantling the mRNA stronghold. The loudest decibels are produced by American evolutionary biologist Bret Weinstein, known to many from The DarkHorse Podcast. Earlier this month, he was a guest on The Joe Rogan Experience, where he terrified Bourla. In the three-hour interview with Rogan, which has now been viewed 1.5 million times, Weinstein explained that while Pfizer is protected against product liability, that same protection lapses when fraud can be proven. And according to Weinstein, it's "pure fraud" due to "the simple fact that they tested a different product than they injected into people."

Weinstein reiterated that message during the Moment of Truth conference, which the advocacy group Children's Health Defense (CHD), founded by Kennedy, recently organized in Austin, Texas. "If we can prove this fraud in court, the mRNA thing will fall apart," Weinstein said. "Pfizer will cease to exist." He also referred to another speaker who addressed the fraud claim at the same conference: Canadian researcher Jessica Rose. It wasn't the first time she had unraveled Pfizer's actions. At the end of October, Rose did so in Driebergen during the Doctors' Collective's Back to the Future conference, where she argued that there was evidence of fraud: she explained why the "safe and effective" slogan was false and that Pfizer knew this. People were injured because they were told the vaccines were "safe and that they worked," Rose said. This aligns her with the "deception" Bourla and others are accused of in the Stassen case. Rose also labeled the exchange scheme in trial 2 as "fraud."

American researcher Kevin McKernan, who first discovered the DNA contamination of mRNA vaccines in April 2023, was also unequivocal in Driebergen. "The Pfizer vaccines on the market do not have the same composition as what has been tested in clinical studies," he stated. He, too, calls it "fraud." McKernan is a well-known name in the world of medical genetics. He played a key role in the renowned Human Genome Project, which resulted in the complete elucidation of the structure of human DNA.

McKernan and Rose spoke extensively in Driebergen and sat next to each other on the plane back. They also met up in Austin. Somewhere along the way, the idea must have arisen to make a revealing video with a 'fraud bonus'. Last weekend, Rose published this video on X and it generated half a million views within a few days. Neurologist Jan Bonte subsequently posted an informative explanation (x.com/BumblebeeJoe). Roughly speaking, Pfizer and Moderna used a

bag of tricks to make the DNA contamination appear as favorable as possible, so that their mRNA vaccines could be quickly registered.

According to Bonte, McKernan and Rose's video demonstrates the pharmaceutical companies' "deception": "I think this video and what it says at least makes it plausible that both Pfizer and Moderna committed deception. This can certainly be classified as deliberate deception and fraud. And it is, of course, extremely worrying that the regulatory authorities and the various responsible bodies were unable—or unwilling—to protect us from this."