

FASSADENKRATZER



The gigantic lie construct of viruses as the cause of disease

That viruses are the cause of infectious diseases has been suggestively etched deep into people's consciousness and is taken for granted by science. This theory has been used to stir up fear and panic and artificially create the appearance of a pandemic, in which those in power believed they could largely override the current attempt at democracy in a totalitarian coup d'état from above. Education is therefore of the utmost importance, not only medically but also socio-politically. It is part of the still desperately denied public reappraisal of the unprecedented totalitarian monstrosities of the coronavirus crisis.



Suggested killer scare of the imperceptible virus (tkp.at)

Among doctors, there were and are only a few who have not given up thinking for themselves and get to the bottom of things. But you don't have to be a doctor to be able to uncover scientific fraud.

Virus mania

Even before the staged corona crisis, the doctor Claus Köhnlein and the journalist Torsten Engelbrecht sharply criticized in their book "Virus-Wahn" that the laws of inorganic, dead nature (here a cause - there an effect) could not simply be transferred to a highly complicated human organism.

"This monocausal and one-dimensional model of thought was decisively shaped by microbiology, whose rise began at the end of the 19th century and which declared very specific (specific!) microorganisms (viruses, bacteria, fungi) to be the cause of very specific diseases, including mass diseases such as cholera or tuberculosis. The founders of this so-called microbe theory, the researchers Louis Pasteur and Robert Koch, ascended to Olympus during their lifetimes."

And so the microbial theory "laid the cornerstone for the basic formula of modern biomedicine with its monocausal-microbial approach and its search for miracle pills: One disease, one cause, one cure. ... But as fascinatingly simple as this monocausal idea is, it has little to do with the complex events in the human body. The vast majority of illnesses have far more than just one cause, which is why the search for the one cause of illness and therefore also the search for the one miracle pill will remain a hopeless endeavor in the vast majority of cases." ¹

According to many scientists, the existence and pathogenic or even lethal effect of the alleged virus pathogens, which are said to be a millionth of a millimeter in size, has never really been proven.²

Futile attempts at infection

During the Spanish flu at the end of the First World War, it was still assumed that bacteria were the cause. Experiments were carried out on this, which Engelbrecht/Köhnlein also refer to. In November 1918, an infection experiment was carried out in Boston with 62 healthy sailors who volunteered: Navy doctors collected the viscous secretion from the noses and throats of terminally ill men and sprayed it into the noses, throats and eyes of some of the test subjects. In others, they rubbed the mucus from the nasal septum of a critically ill man into the nasal septum.

"In an attempt to simulate the processes that normally take place when people catch the flu, the doctors took ten of the test subjects to the military hospital to expose them to soldiers suffering from the flu. The sick men lay curled up on their narrow beds with feverish faces, alternately slipping from sleep to delirium. The ten healthy men were each instructed to approach a patient, bend over him, inhale his foul-smelling breath and chat with him for five minutes. To ensure that the healthy person was fully exposed to the disease, the sick person had to exhale vigorously, while the healthy person inhaled the breath of the sick person. Finally, the flu sufferer had to cough into the volunteer's face for another five minutes. Each healthy test subject performed this procedure on 10 different volunteers.

Flu patients whose onset of illness could not be more than 3 days ago."

This was to ensure that the pathogen was still in the secretions from the nose or lungs.

"But not a single healthy man got sick."

A comparable experiment took place under even stricter conditions in San Francisco. "To everyone's surprise, not a single test subject became ill. The scientists were amazed. If these healthy volunteers didn't get infected, even though the doctors did everything they could to make them ill, what was the cause of the illness? How did people become infected with the flu?" ³

According to the third of Koch's famous three scientific postulates, a microorganism that is supposed to be a pathogen must cause the disease when it is introduced to a laboratory animal or a human test subject. Otherwise it cannot be considered scientifically as a cause of disease.

Missing viruses in patients

Dr. Gerd Reuther, a former radiology chief physician of many years and profound critic of medicine, pointed out that the "Influenza" working group at the Robert Koch Institute has been publishing the test results of outpatients with respiratory diseases on a weekly basis for many years.

The results regularly show that no viruses were found in between 40% and 60% of patients.

If no viruses are detected in up to 60% of patients, then it goes without saying that viruses cannot be the cause of their respiratory illness. There must be other causes.

And then it can also be assumed that these other causes were also effective in the other patients in whom viruses were detected and that the viruses - or what are considered to be viruses - are only insignificant accompanying or secondary symptoms.

Yes, even in the corona panic, masses of people without symptoms of the disease, i.e. healthy people, were tested positive with PCR tests and declared infected. A nonsense and fraud without equal.

Dr. Reuther therefore continues:

"The first generation of microbiologists around a Robert Koch still knew this, unlike today's virologists. According to the claims wrongly attributed to Robert Koch ("Koch's postulates") a microorganism can only be considered as the cause of a disease if it can be detected in all patients with the same symptoms, but not in any healthy person. This compelling logic does not apply to a single virus." ⁴

Virology is not a science

Dr. Reuther returned to the virus lies in a recently published article and introduced it with the following words:

"The Covid staging should have brought home to everyone that the teaching of infectious diseases is not science. With tests, alleged pathogens can appear or disappear out of nowhere. Like a magic show - abracadabra.

The compelling logic of only attributing causality to a microbe for an infectious disease if it is detected in all patients but no healthy person is not valid in infectiology (see PCR tests, which allegedly detect viruses in countless healthy people without symptoms, hl). The failure of all attempts at infection as the ultimate proof is still of no concern to anyone in bacteriology and virology.

The pathogen medicine of the late 19th century was not a Copernican revolution, but a pharmaceutically controlled heresy. For 150 years, doctors have made themselves at home in this dead-end street of thought and have continued to add to the furniture.

Virologists are now in charge. Their supposed pathogens are even more airy-fairy, so that direct proof is dispensed with." (Emphasis mine, hl)

In the article, he pointed out that with regard to the measles virus, the highest court in Germany has ruled that there is [no conclusive identification of a measles virus](#). In contrast to the bacilli, the discoverers of the viruses are either concealed or there is no discoverer. And if someone is mentioned by name, such as the Hamburg vaccinologist [Enrique Paschen](#) (1860-1936) as the discoverer of the smallpox virus, then this is fake news. In 1907, Paschen only had a light microscope at his disposal, with which he was unable to detect viruses. (The electron microscope, with which they are considered visible, was not developed until 1931).

He then used the example of rabies, which was not questioned as a viral disease, to show how it was possible to recognize very clearly that facts simply interfere with the virus construct. They are ignored so that the dogma remains untouched.

Rabies has been considered "eradicated" in most EU countries for more than 10 years. After unsuccessful campaigns to kill foxes, it is said that vaccine baits were used to kill off the rabies viruses.

"Even believers in vaccination who want to assume that a vaccine virus could still have the desired effect after a gastrointestinal passage must ask themselves how the entire European fox population would have been reached by these vaccine baits. Large-scale distribution is unrealizable and vaccine baits are also ingested by other carnivores. A successful vaccination campaign could at best explain a temporary decline in rabies, but not its disappearance. Not to mention the fact that this campaign did not take place in all "rabies-free" countries, and Poland or Hungary as direct neighboring countries are not classified as rabies-free. Are political borders once again being respected by viruses?"

It also contradicts any virus logic that all carnivores are certified as being able to serve as a reservoir for the rabies virus, but in Europe only foxes were to blame. The wolves, which used to be notorious for the disease and whose numbers have increased again in recent decades, seem to play just as little a role today as badgers, martens, raccoons or wild cats. Not to mention the fact that domesticated dogs and cats also ignored bans on entering forests and meadows.

However, pets as dangerous carriers are not an issue in Europe. In the USA, however, they are. There, a decline in rabies cases since the 1950s is attributed to vaccination campaigns for domestic dogs! Strangely enough, the virus would not have been a problem in the wild in North America, unlike in Europe. Of the few cases in the USA (125 cases from 1960-2018), 70% would now be attributable to bats, which in turn would not be a problem elsewhere. Now it would be mainly dogs in Africa and Asia...

But the absurdities are not enough. On a current world map, the European Union (with the exception of Poland and most south-eastern European states), Japan and Australia are marked as rabies-free countries.

Despite the global freedom of movement of goods and living beings, these countries, which belong to the Western world, have conquered a disease that continues to cause deaths everywhere else in the world! Allegedly, around 60,000 deaths per year can be attributed to rabies, especially in Africa and Asia.

However, all figures are estimated, not least because rabies can only be reliably diagnosed after death and autopsies are rare. The countries of the Western world are now able to do this without vaccination campaigns, while vaccination is essential to control other diseases. Who would believe that?

Dr. Reuther:

"Lies are known to have short legs and lead to many contradictions. This also applies to virus lies. It is long overdue to recognize that other concepts are needed to plausibly explain infectious diseases. Any theory without significant involvement of the immune system of the diseased organism is misleading." ⁵

Virology does not meet the methodological requirements of the natural sciences at all. It is a fraudulent pseudoscience, as the British biomedical scientist Simon Lee also states. It claims the existence of a disease-causing "virus" without fulfilling the first condition of a scientific method, namely to prove the virus as a perceptible phenomenon in the first place.

He describes how researchers initially always looked for bacterial causes of diseases. When they increasingly failed, they suspected that there must be something even smaller and invisible in the fluids of sick people, which they called a "virus" (poison).

"Until 1952, virologists believed that a virus was a toxic protein or enzyme that poisons the body and multiplies in the body, spreading both within the body and between humans and animals."

However, these suspected "viruses" could not have been detected directly in the diseased tissue, even with the help of electron microscopy, which has since been developed. It was also recognized that healthy animals, organs and tissue release the same degradation products during decay that had previously been wrongly interpreted as "viruses".

For decades, virologists had not succeeded in purifying and isolating the supposed "virus" particles in order to directly prove their existence and pathogenicity (disease-causing effect). The virologists had only indirect evidence of decomposition in human and animal tissue cultures, allegedly caused by the "virus" in question, which itself had not been directly

detected. However, the same decomposition had also been observed in the tissue of healthy hosts.

Various research groups were also unable to reproduce or confirm the results of others. In fact, the results are often contradictory, as an essay by Karlheinz Lüdtke from 1999 shows: *"Findings that were frequently reported by individual virus researchers at the time could not be confirmed by other researchers through their own experiments, or the observations could not be reproduced by all scientists working with the virus. Frequently, contrary findings were reported or the investigated findings were regarded as artifacts (artificially created products) ... The findings that empirically confirmed a suspected connection were often soon joined by negative findings from other researchers"*.

Basically, according to Simon Lee, virology as a science had already disproved itself at that time.

Closer: <https://fassadenkratzer.de/2023/09/15/das-virus-konstrukt-einer-betrugerischen-pseudowissenschaft/>

Further notes:

- 1 Virus delusion, expanded 8th edition 2020, p. 17
- 2 Vgl. <https://fassadenkratzer.de/2020/07/16/die-wissenschaft-vom-virus-das-einfach-nicht-nachzuweisen-ist/>

See also:

<https://fassadenkratzer.de/2021/10/28/das-groese-verschweigen-in-wahrheit-wurde-bis-heute-kein-virus-nachgewiesen/>

<https://fassadenkratzer.de/2023/05/12/das-virus-phantom-die-betrugerischen-wege-die-existenz-von-viren-nachzuweisen/>

- 3 Notes. 1, p. 243 f.
- 4 See: <https://fassadenkratzer.de/2024/05/15/nicht-viren-sondern-den-wirklichen-ursachen-einer-grippe-nachspuren/>
- 5 <https://tkp.at/2025/01/06/die-private-wissenschaft-der-virologen/>